

Isabella County, Michigan

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(FEDERAL AWARDS)**

September 30, 2014

Isabella County, Michigan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

September 30, 2014

	<u>PAGE</u>
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY OMB CIRCULAR A-133	1-3
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	4-6
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	7
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH <i>GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS</i>	8-9
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	10-13
SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS	14

Principals

Dale J. Abraham, CPA
Michael T. Gaffney, CPA
Steven R. Kirinovic, CPA
Aaron M. Stevens, CPA
Eric J. Glashouwer, CPA
Alan D. Panter, CPA
William I. Tucker IV, CPA



3511 Coolidge Road
Suite 100
East Lansing, MI 48823
(517) 351-6836
FAX: (517) 351-6837

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND
ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Board of Commissioners
Isabella County, Michigan
Mt. Pleasant, Michigan

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited Isabella County, Michigan's (the County) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the County's major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2014. The County's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

The County's basic financial statements include the operations of the Isabella County Transportation Commission and the Isabella County Road Commission (the Road Commission), discretely presented component units, which received \$1,272,052 and \$2,298,923, respectively, in federal awards which are not included in the schedule for the year ended September 30, 2014. Our audit, described below, did not include the operations of Isabella County Transportation Commission because the component unit engaged us to perform a separate audit in accordance with OMB Circular A-133. During the year ended September 30, 2014, the Federal aid received and expended by the Road Commission was \$1,821,037 for contracted projects and \$477,886 for negotiated projects. Contracted projects are defined as projects performed by private contractors paid for and administrated by MDOT. The contracted Federal projects are not subject to single audit requirements by the road commissions, as they are included in MDOT's single audit. Negotiated projects are defined as projects performed by Road Commission employees or private contractors paid for and administered by the Road Commission.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the County's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the County complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2014.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Isabella County, Michigan, as of and for the year ended September 30, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated March 16, 2015, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. We did not audit the financial statements of the Isabella County Road Commission and the Isabella County Medical Care Facility. The Road Commission represents 54%, 62%, and 32%, respectively, of the total assets, net position, and revenues of the component units, and the Medical Care Facility represents 15%, 13%, and 41%, respectively, of the total assets, net position, and revenues of the component units. Those financial statements were audited by other auditors whose report thereon has been furnished to us, and our opinions, insofar as they relate to the amounts included for the Road Commission and Medical Care Facility, are based solely on the reports of the other auditors. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for the purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Abraham & Gaffney, P.C.

ABRAHAM & GAFFNEY, P.C.
Certified Public Accountants

March 16, 2015

Isabella County, Michigan

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

Year Ended September 30, 2014

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantors Number	Current Year	
			Revenues	Expenditures
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION				
Passed through Michigan State Police Hazardous Materials Emergency Preparedness Grant	20.703	HM-HMP-0347-13-01-00	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES				
Passed through Michigan Department of Human Services Child Support Enforcement (Title IV-D)	93.563			
Cooperative Reimbursement ^{(a)(c)}				
Friend of the Court		CS/FOC-13-37001	401,262	401,262
Prosecuting Attorney		CS/PA-13-37002	22,191	22,191
Title IV-D Incentive Payments ^{(b)(c)}				
2012 Regular		N/A	80,770	80,770
			<u>504,223</u>	<u>504,223</u>
Foster Care (Title IV-E)	93.658	PROFC-11-37001	11,518	11,518
PPHF Community Transformation Grants - Small Communities Program financed solely by 2012 Public Prevention and Health Funds	93.737	1H75DP004180-01		
			<u>14,550</u>	<u>14,550</u>
Total passed through Michigan Department of Human Services			530,291	530,291
Passed through Region VII Area Agency on Aging				
Special Programs for the Aging				
Title III, Part D	93.043	N/A	3,341	3,341
Title III, Part B ^(d)	93.044	N/A		
Case Coordination and Support			29,434	29,434
Personal Care			3,452	3,452
Respite			358	358
Homemaker			7,081	7,081
			<u>40,325</u>	<u>40,325</u>

Isabella County, Michigan

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS - CONTINUED

Year Ended September 30, 2014

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantors Number	Current Year	
			Revenues	Expenditures
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES - CONCLUDED				
Passed through Region VII Area Agency on Aging - concluded				
Special Programs for the Aging - concluded				
Title III, Part C-1 ^(d)	93.045	N/A		
Congregate Meals			\$ 67,012	\$ 67,012
Home Delivered Meals			84,201	84,201
			<u>151,213</u>	<u>151,213</u>
Title III, Part E	93.052	N/A		
Caregiver Training			16,242	16,242
Supplemental Funds			2,158	2,158
Kinship Care Funds			1,027	1,027
			<u>19,427</u>	<u>19,427</u>
Nutrition Services Incentive Program (NSIP) ^(d)	93.053	N/A		
Congregate Meals			23,191	23,191
Home Delivered Meals			52,669	52,669
			<u>75,860</u>	<u>75,860</u>
Medical Assistance Program	93.778	N/A	<u>14,275</u>	<u>14,275</u>
Total passed through Region VII Area Agency on Aging			<u>304,441</u>	<u>304,441</u>
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES			834,732	834,732

Isabella County, Michigan

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS - CONCLUDED

Year Ended September 30, 2014

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantors Number	Current Year	
			Revenues	Expenditures
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY				
Passed through Michigan Department of Natural Resources 2010 Marine Safety Grant	97.012	N/A	\$ 6,927	\$ 6,927
Passed through Michigan State Police	97.042			
2012 Emergency Management Performance Grant		EMW-2013-EP-00026-S01	7,253	7,253
2014 Emergency Management Performance Grant		EMW-2013-EP-00026-S01	8,327	8,327
			<u>15,580</u>	<u>15,580</u>
Passed through West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Commission				
2011 Homeland Security Grant	97.067	N/A	<u>19,105</u>	<u>19,105</u>
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY			<u>41,612</u>	<u>41,612</u>
TOTAL FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE			<u>\$ 877,344</u>	<u>\$ 877,344</u>

Isabella County, Michigan

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

Year Ended September 30, 2014

NOTE A: BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the Federal grant activity of Isabella County, Michigan, and is presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Nonprofit Organizations*. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in or used in the preparation of the basic financial statements, which are reconciled in Note C below.

NOTE B: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT EXPLANATIONS OF SCHEDULE

The following descriptions identified below as (a) - (d) represent explanations that cross reference to amounts on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards:

- (a) Reimbursements of these contracts are passed through the State Department of Human Services (DHS). The amounts reported on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards represent the Federal portion of the respective amounts based on 66% of Title IV-D eligible expenditures for the applicable grants.
- (b) The reimbursements for the IV-D Incentive Payments Program are based on support payments collected. Expenditures have been reported to the extent of earned revenues and are 100% Federal.
- (c) Denotes program tested as a "major program".
- (d) Program considered a cluster by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

NOTE C: RECONCILIATION TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

The following reconciles the federal revenues reported in the September 30, 2014 basic financial statements to the expenditures of the County administered federal programs reported on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards:

	<u>Federal/ State Revenue</u>	<u>Less State/Local Revenue</u>	<u>Federal Award Expenditures</u>
PRIMARY GOVERNMENT			
GENERAL FUND			
Homeland Security	\$ 35,685	\$ -	\$ 35,685
Prosecutor - CRP	22,191	-	22,191
Prosecutor - FIA reimbursement	11,518	-	11,518
Marine safety grant	6,927	-	6,927
Other Programs	<u>2,860,591</u>	<u>(2,860,591)</u>	<u>-0-</u>
TOTAL GENERAL FUND	2,936,912	(2,860,591)	76,321
TRIBAL CONTRIBUTION	25,500	(25,500)	-0-
COMMISSION ON AGING ACTIVITIES	1,119,278	(814,837)	304,441
NONMAJOR SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS			
Friend of the Court	482,032	-	482,032
Commission on Aging Walking Path Construction	14,550	-	14,550
Other	<u>1,252,587</u>	<u>(1,252,587)</u>	<u>-0-</u>
TOTAL NONMAJOR SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS	<u>1,749,169</u>	<u>(1,252,587)</u>	<u>496,582</u>
TOTAL	<u>\$ 5,830,859</u>	<u>\$ (4,953,515)</u>	<u>\$ 877,344</u>

Principals

Dale J. Abraham, CPA
Michael T. Gaffney, CPA
Steven R. Kirinovic, CPA
Aaron M. Stevens, CPA
Eric J. Glashouwer, CPA
Alan D. Panter, CPA
William I. Tucker IV, CPA



3511 Coolidge Road
Suite 100
East Lansing, MI 48823
(517) 351-6836
FAX: (517) 351-6837

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND
ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Commissioners
Isabella County, Michigan
Mt. Pleasant, Michigan

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Isabella County, Michigan (the County), as of and for the year ended September 30, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 16, 2015. Our report includes a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements of the Isabella County Medical Care Facility and the Isabella County Road Commission, as described in our report on the County's financial statements. This report does not include the results of the other auditors' testing of internal control over financial reporting or compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those auditors.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified. We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as 2014-001 and 2014-002 to be significant deficiencies.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*, and are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2014-003 and 2014-004.

Isabella County, Michigan's Responses to Findings

The County's responses to the findings identified in our audit are noted in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The County's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Abraham & Gaffney, P.C.

ABRAHAM & GAFFNEY, P.C.
Certified Public Accountants

March 16, 2015

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Year Ended September 30, 2014

Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Statements

Type of auditor's report issued: Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

Material weakness(es) identified? _____ Yes X No

Significant deficiency(ies) identified? X Yes _____ None reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? X Yes _____ No

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs:

Material weakness(es) identified? _____ Yes X No

Significant deficiency(ies) identified? _____ Yes X None reported

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported with Section 510(a) of Circular A-133? _____ Yes X No

Identification of major programs:

CFDA Number(s)	Name of Federal Program or Cluster
93.563	Child Support Enforcement (Title IV-D)

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$ 300,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? _____ Yes X No

Section II - Financial Statement Findings

2014-001 REPEAT AUDIT COMMENTS

Condition: During our review of internal controls at the Sheriff's Department, the Trial Court, and Recycling Center, we noted several opportunities to strengthen internal control and segregate duties in the cash receipting and disbursement procedures. These issues were noted and reported in our prior year audit comments. Specifically, we noted:

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - CONTINUED

Year Ended September 30, 2014

Section II - Financial Statement Findings - Continued

2014-001 REPEAT AUDIT COMMENTS - CONTINUED

Sheriff's Department:

- a. The cashiers do not maintain separate cash drawers.
- b. All of the clerks and corrections officers are authorized check signers for the Inmate Trust Account.
- c. The disbursements made from the Inmate Trust account are not reviewed prior to checks being prepared.

Trial Court:

- a. The employee who reconciles the bank account is not independent of the cash receipting and disbursement process.

Recycling Center:

- a. Administrative assistant opens the mail, performs cash receipting, and has access to the electronic records.

Criteria: The intent of internal control is to assure that no one individual is able to control all aspects of a transaction cycle (i.e. receipts, disbursements, etc.). The controls applicable to the Trial Court are required by the State of Michigan.

Cause: The cost of implementing these controls was previously considered greater than the benefits provided.

Effect: The Sheriff's Department, Trial Court, and Recycling Center are susceptible to fraudulent transactions as the internal controls and segregation of duties in these areas is inadequate. The Trial Court is also not in compliance with the applicable section of the Michigan Court Administration Reference Guide.

Recommendation: We recommend that the departments evaluate all aspects of their accounting functions and consider taking action to correct the above deficiencies.

Corrective Action Response: The Sheriff's Office has had separate cash drawers installed and has indicated they will be implementing the necessary changes in procedures to clearly assign one individual per cash drawer during a shift. In order to address the weaknesses in the disbursement cycle of the Inmate Trust Account, a second signature will be required on checks disbursed, thereby insuring a second person's review of transactions as checks are prepared.

The Chief Judge for the Trial Court has been in discussion with the County Administrator/Controller to address the audit findings. The Chief Judge has hired a new Court Administrator and discussions regarding resolution of the audit findings shall occur once the Court Administrator begins.

The Recycling Center has added staff to the administrative area so that the cash transaction cycle can be segregated. The new Director of Recycling has begun making procedural changes to cash handling and will be training the staff to accomplish the necessary segregation of duties in the accounting functions.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - CONTINUED

Year Ended September 30, 2014

Section II - Financial Statement Findings - Continued

2014-002 PROSECUTING ATTORNEY RECEIPTS

Condition: During our testing of receipts at the Prosecuting Attorney's office, we noted several opportunities to strengthen internal controls. We noted that not all payments received were recorded in the receipt book. As a result, we were unable to trace some receipts to the Treasurer's office transmittal. Also, we noted that some revenue is transmitted to the Treasurer's office monthly, while other payments are held for several months until they are transmitted.

Criteria: Internal controls over the receipts process within the Prosecuting Attorney's office should be designed to reduce the likelihood of error or fraud that could occur.

Cause: Unknown.

Effect: The Office of the Prosecuting Attorney is more susceptible to error or fraudulent transactions related to cash receipts as internal controls in this area appear to be inadequate.

Recommendation: We recommend the Prosecuting Attorney's office issue pre-numbered receipts for all payments received. Cash transmitted to the County Treasurer's office should be reconciled to the applicable sequence of receipts when the deposit is made. Additionally, an employee independent of the receipting process review these balancing procedures and signoff to document the review to ensure the security of the assets. We also recommend that the Office of the Prosecuting Attorney deposit discovery payments on a monthly basis or sooner.

Corrective Action Response: The Administrator/Controller and Director of Accounting Services will meet with the Prosecuting Attorney to review the audit findings. The recommendations provided above will be considered as steps to improve the current internal controls for cash receipts.

2014-003 OUTSTANDING CHECKS NEED TO BE ESCHEATED

Condition: During our review of the Flex Spending and Inmate Trust bank accounts, we noted that several of the outstanding checks were old and still outstanding.

Criteria: Michigan Public Act 29 of 1995 provides that the County report and escheat unclaimed property to the State of Michigan. Michigan Department of Treasury Numbered Letter 2009-1 also gives guidance on this subject.

Cause: The County did not effectively review and escheat checks for these accounts, which were outstanding past their dormancy period as discussed in Treasury's letter.

Effect: The County is not in compliance with Public Act 29 of 1995.

Recommendation: We recommend that outstanding checks be reviewed on an annual basis and any checks that are outstanding for more than one year be appropriately escheated to the State of Michigan unless an outstanding check can be reissued to the payee.

Corrective Action Response: Management will review the outstanding checks on all bank accounts and determine if the checks can be reissued to the payee or should be escheated to the State of Michigan.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - CONCLUDED

Year Ended September 30, 2014

Section II - Financial Statement Findings - Concluded

2014-004 UNFAVORABLE BUDGET VARIANCES

Condition: During our review of the County's compliance with the budgeting act, we noted that expenditures had exceeded the amounts appropriated for various activities in the General Fund and five (5) special revenue funds. A similar issue was noted and reported in our prior year audit comments.

Criteria: The Uniform Budgeting and Accounting Act (Public Act 621 of 1978) requires the County to amend the original adopted budget "as soon as it becomes apparent that a deviation from the original general appropriations act is necessary and the amount of the deviation can be determined". The Act also states that "an administrative officer of the local unit shall not incur expenditures against an appropriation account in excess of the amount appropriated by the legislative body".

Cause: The County did not effectively monitor expenditures against adopted budgets and make appropriate budget amendments as needed.

Effect: The County is not in compliance with Public Act 621 of 1978, as amended.

Recommendation: We recommend the County monitor expenditures against adopted budgets in these areas and make appropriate budget amendments as needed.

Corrective Action Response: The Board of Commissioners added a new accountant position to the Administration Department. The addition of new staff will assist in more effective monitoring of expenditures against adopted budgets. During a 2015 monthly management meeting we will include a training session on financial statement review and budget projections for department heads. In addition, the upcoming budget process will emphasize current year projections (and any necessary budget amendments) as well as next year's budget.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

None noted.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS

Year Ended September 30, 2014

FINDINGS/NONCOMPLIANCE

Control Deficiencies and Material Weaknesses Related to Internal Controls Over the Financial Statements.

2009-1 REPEAT AUDIT COMMENTS

Condition: During our review of internal controls at the Sheriff's Department, the Trial Court, Drain Office, Recycling Center, and the Register of Deeds, we noted several opportunities to strengthen internal control and segregate duties in the cash receipting and disbursement procedures.

Resolution: The Drain Office and Register of Deeds incorporated the recommended internal controls for this year. While some changes were made at the Sheriff's Department, there are still some areas where further changes are needed. We do not consider this issue to be resolved.

Findings Related to Compliance with Requirements Applicable to the Financial Statements.

2013-1 UNFAVORABLE BUDGET VARIANCES

Condition: During our review of the County's compliance with the budgeting act, we noted that expenditures had exceeded the amounts appropriated for various activities in the General Fund, and four (4) special revenue funds. A similar issue was noted and reported in our prior year audit comments.

Resolution: This issue is evaluated each year and in the current year we found expenditures exceeded the amounts appropriated in the General Fund and four (4) special revenue funds. We consider this issue resolved only as it pertains to the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013.