

## **Minutes**

### **ISABELLA COUNTY OPIOID ADVISORY COMMITTEE SPECIAL MEETING**

Central Michigan District Health Department  
Conference Room B, 2012 E Preston St, Mt Pleasant, MI 48858  
October 1<sup>st</sup>, 2026 (8:00am)

- I. **Call to Order:** by Committee Chair - Jerry Jaloszynski in conference room B of the Central Michigan District Health Department, 2012 E Preston St, Mt Pleasant, MI 48858. In Person Meeting.

**Members Present:** Jerry Jaloszynski, Hon. Stuart Black, Sue Hahn, Anna Winters, Jennifer McNally, Anna Reetz, Amy Campbell

**Absent:** Ryan Griffus, Rose Jackson, Jeremy Bennett, Jessica Miller

- II. **Approval of Agenda:** Jerry Jaloszynski motioned to approve agenda, no objections from the committee, motion carried

- III. **Public Comments (Agenda items only):** None

IV. **Funding Award Options**

- a. Committee Chair identified settlement funding award options. Committee members also have the option to renew award(s) for an additional year contingent upon satisfactory first year data.

V. **Review and Discussion – Eight Opioid Settlement Funding RFPs**

- a. Amy Dolinky from the Michigan Association of Counties reviewed her proposal summary and identified allowable-use and supplanting concerns. She was also available to answer committee questions regarding the RFPs.
- b. All RFPs were reviewed by the committee for feedback and concerns. The following items were identified for follow-up prior to the October 15 voting meeting:
- i. BHG Mount Pleasant Treatment Center, Treatment subsidies for uninsured and underinsured patients: Clarification was discussed by committee to ensure funding would be prioritized for Isabella County residents, no other concerns were noted
  - ii. Exchange Central, Harm Reduction supplies, distribution and outreach: No concerns noted
  - iii. Ten16 Recovery Network, Peer Recovery Coach in Jail: Committee discussed how the effectiveness of the intervention would be

tracked without follow-up with individuals receiving services. Concerns were also raised regarding potential duplication of services and the lack of documentation demonstrating support or need from the Isabella County Jail.

- iv. Metropolis, Digital Recovery support platform: Amy Dolinky noted that this application was commonly received for opioid settlement funding opportunities. Committee members expressed concerns regarding the lack of a county connection and support from local organizations. The committee also noted that MDHHS offers a similar, more comprehensive resource.
  - v. Isabella County Sheriff's Department, MOUD and Associated Services within Jail: Amy Dolinky shared the [Attorney General: Settlement Spending Guidance and Non-Remediation List](#) and recommended removal of the communications line item, as the proposed app is not an allowable expense under opioid settlement funding. The guidance excludes law enforcement or local government custom phone apps, community engagement software, and equipment not specifically related to prevention, treatment, harm reduction, or recovery services. Jerry will follow up with Sheriff Main regarding the recommendation.
  - vi. Isabella County Trial Court, Recovery in Motion: Following additional clarification from Carolyn Johnson to Jerry Jaloszynski via email, Amy Dolinky confirmed there were no supplanting concerns. Amy and other committee members expressed concerns regarding the proposed expansion of drug checking. Jerry will follow up with Carolyn to clarify whether the proposed funding would reduce costs for the participant or the county. If the funding benefits participants, it would be an allowable use of settlement funds.
  - vii. New Hope Behavioral Health Center, Variety of Services including Treatment: Amy Dolinky identified potential supplanting concerns. Committee members also expressed concerns regarding duplication of services in the area and the ability to sustain services after settlement funding ends.
  - viii. Central Michigan University, Recovery Friendly Workplace: Amy Dolinky shared the [Michigan Recovery Friendly Workplace](#) resource and noted that this is already a state-funded initiative. The committee also noted that indirect costs exceed 10% and expressed concerns that a majority of the funding would support staff time rather than directly benefit individuals impacted.
- c. Committee Chair requested that all members complete the preliminary evaluation score sheet and submit it to him prior to the October 15 voting meeting.
- i. Members of the committee brought up the need to evaluate scoring sheet for the next round of proposals. There was consensus to

have something formalized for sustainability, but concerns around the time it takes to complete scoring for each submitted proposal.

**VI. Public Comment (Any topic relevant to subcommittee business):** None

**VII. Meeting Adjourned** at 9:20am